Journal of Communication and Management

ISSN: 2583-617X (Online)

Journal home page: https://jcoma.com

Research Article

DOI: 10.58966/JCM2025424

Truth in the Age of Clickbait: A Review of Social Media Misinformation Through Case Studies

Kavita Devi[1], Palwinder Singh[2*]

[1]*Research Scholar, Department of Mass Communication, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab, India.*
[2*]*Assistant Professor, Department of Mass Communication, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab, India.*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received: 16 April, 2025
Revised: 11 May, 2025
Accepted: 11 June, 2025
Published: 23 June, 2025

Keywords:
Social media, health misinformation, media influence, vaccine hesitancy

ABSTRACT

The rapid dissemination of misinformation through social media platforms has profound implications for public health, particularly in the context of health-related information. Platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, TikTok, and WhatsApp serve as critical channels for public health communication, fostering awareness and interaction between health authorities and the public. However, these platforms have also emerged as significant conduits for misinformation, contributing to adverse public health outcomes. This study employs a qualitative case study methodology to investigate the spread and impact of health-related misinformation, drawing on content analysis from five credible online news outlets: The Guardian, Reuters, WIRED, The Indian Express, and Politico. Seven case studies are examined, focusing on misinformation surrounding vaccinations, erroneous health claims, and preventable health crises, and their consequences, including vaccine hesitancy and self-diagnosis. The research further explores the mechanisms driving misinformation dissemination, such as the role of social media influencers, algorithmic amplification, and geopolitical agendas, while evaluating the accountability of digital platforms in shaping health narratives. Findings reveal that misinformation spreads more rapidly than accurate information, exacerbated by algorithmic promotion and influential personalities, resulting in significant public health challenges, particularly reduced vaccine acceptance. By applying Framing, Agenda-Setting, and Spiral of Silence theories, this study analyzes how misinformation is constructed, prioritized, and perpetuated within digital ecosystems, offering a comprehensive framework for understanding the interplay between social media dynamics and health-related behaviors in the modern digital era.

Introduction

In the modern era of digitalization, social media platforms like Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok have become central to public health communication. These social media platforms allow for the quick spreading of health-related information, promoting interactions among healthcare professionals, policymakers, and the public (Chou et al., 2020). Public health organizations use social media to disseminate critical information regarding disease prevention, vaccination campaigns, and emerging health threats (Wang et al., 2021). Yet, while digital media is instrumental in fostering health awareness, it is also a vehicle for misinformation, resulting in confusion, vaccine refusal, and negative health implications (Cinelli et al., 2020). Social media misinformation has been demonstrated to spread more rapidly than true content, and this accelerates public health threats (Vosoughi, Roy,& Aral, 2018). The greater use of social media as a source of information on health issues poses an important paradox. While the platforms allow an easily accessible channel of public health education, they also enable the quick dissemination of misinformation. Misinformation about health, such as unsubstantiated assertions regarding vaccines, complementary treatments, and the control of chronic diseases, has been disseminated extensively, with a tendency to produce more activity than correct

***Corresponding Author:** Palwinder Singh

Address: Assistant Professor, Department of Mass Communication, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab, India.

Email ✉**:** drpalwindersinghbhatia@gmail.com

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

medical information (Suarez-Lledo & Alvarez-Galvez, 2021). The quick transmission of fallacious health information de-legitimises healthcare professionals, diminishes vaccination, and encourages inefficient or harmful interventions. Hence, it becomes important to analyze the two-sided effect of digital media on public health and prepare adequate strategies to counter misinformation. Among the best examples of the two-way contribution of social media in health awareness as well as misinformation, is its contribution during the COVID-19 pandemic. A study by Zarocostas (2020) explains how social media played a significant role in propagating proper health advice from such institutions as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Nevertheless, the same platforms were also hotbeds of misinformation around vaccines, cures, and conspiracy theories that contributed to the spread of widespread public misinformation and vaccine reluctance (Pulido et al., 2020). Correspondingly, research by Basch et al. (2021) identified that COVID-19 YouTube videos had a high percentage of unverified and deceptive health assertions, which might negatively influence public opinion. Also, Al-Zaman (2021) considered health misinformation to be the biggest concern in social media content, as research on fake news in India indicated that health-based misinformation was one among the six prevalent themes being spread online. Misinformation pervades beyond pandemics to influence areas including chronic conditions, reproductive well-being, mental illnesses, and eating habits. For instance, more than 50% of the most trending TikTok videos regarding Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) included misinformation,fueling self-diagnosis patterns and misinterpretations of ADHD, according to a study by Yeung et al. (2022). Similarly, Suarez-Lledo and Alvarez-Galvez (2021) found that vaccine misinformation, such as the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine, was present in 43% of content examined with concerns over its influence on public health behaviour. A study by Raj and Goswami (2020) similarly found that information scarcity moments have seen an increase in misinformation, underscoring the power of social media in perpetuating public health myths. Despite these obstacles, social media continues to be an effective means of health promotion. Initiatives like #VaccinateWithMe and #MentalHealthAwareness have been able to reach millions, encouraging healthy behaviours and de-stigmatizing illnesses (Liu et al., 2022). Governments and healthcare institutions have increasingly turned to digital solutions like artificial intelligence-based fact-checking and collaborations with social media platforms to fight health misinformation. Research, including that by Guess, Nagler, and Tucker (2020), indicates that interventions in media literacy can improve citizens' capacity to distinguish credible health information from misinformation. In addition, institutions likethe Indian Medical Association have been calling for policy interventions and digital media regulation to stem false health narratives (BMJ, 2023). This article seeks to explore the dual function of social media in public health, tracing how it makes people aware of health issues but also spreads misinformation. Through monitoring engagement patterns, credibility of the content, and public response, this research will shed light on the processes of digital health communication and discuss means to maximize the beneficial role of social media and reduce the negative effects of misinformation.

The Significance of the Study

In the contemporary digital era, social media platforms serve as critical conduits for the dissemination of health-related information, significantly shaping public perceptions and behaviors. These platforms facilitate rapid information sharing, fostering increased health awareness while simultaneously amplifying the spread of misinformation. Misinformation, often propagated through sensational content and algorithmic amplification, disseminates more swiftly than accurate information, contributing to public confusion, fear and the adoption of potentially harmful health practices. Such misinformation has been linked to increased vaccine hesitancy and self-diagnosis behaviors, posing substantial risks to public health. Despite efforts to curb the spread of false information, it remains a persistent global challenge. This study investigates the mechanisms underlying the propagation of health misinformation on social media, evaluates its impacts on public health outcomes and assesses the effectiveness of existing mitigation strategies. By doing so, it aims to contribute to the enhancement of digital health literacy and promote the responsible use of social media for health communication.

Objectives of the Study

- To analyze social media contributions for spreading misinformation about health.
- To examine the effects of health misinformation disseminated through social media.

Review of Literature

The Role of Social Media in Health Awareness

Social media is now a major player in public health messaging, providing a powerful tool for the dissemination of health-related messages. A systematic review by Stellefson et al. (2020) evaluated social media's contribution to health promotion and its effectiveness in raising health knowledge and facilitating behaviour change. These platforms enable users to interact directly with health organizations, expertsand peers, fostering community engagement and enabling the spread of health information to large, diverse audiences. For example,

social media has been used to promote disease prevention efforts, vaccination campaigns, and general health awareness (Wang et al., 2021). In times of health crises, like the outbreak of COVID-19, social media platforms like Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter played a critical role in disseminating timely information from credible sources, including the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), which served to slow down the spread of the virus (Zarocostas, 2020). Social media are also platforms for engagement where the public and healthcare professionals engage in direct dialogue, building trust and collaboration. The engagement allows for the sharing of knowledge, making it possible for individuals to seek answers, get counsel, and access credible sources. Chou et al. (2020) highlighted that these sites enhance health literacy by allowing users access to varied health content, such as videos, blogs, and health articles, which facilitate informed decision-making regarding health behaviours. With the growing digital space, the role of social media in encouraging healthy behaviours increases, determining the future of health communication.

The Spread of Health Misinformation

Despiteits advantages, the speedy circulation of disinformation on social media is a serious public health threat. Health disinformation refers to inaccurate or misleading health information widely disseminated on digital media. Suarez-Lledo and Alvarez-Galvez (2021) reviewed systematically for prevalent health disinformation themes shared on social media, such as unsubstantiated claims of the effects of smoking, drugs, and vaccines. They discovered that such misinformation tends to gain more interaction than true health information, further amplifying its visibility and impact. Social media's nature to go viral is another factor contributing to the issue of misinformation. Vosoughi et al. (2018) showed that false information travelled faster than fact-based content on social media, further enhancing the threats posed by health misinformation. Such an issue was especially visible during the COVID-19 pandemic. Social media sites became breeding grounds for conspiracy theories, unsubstantiated vaccine claims, and false treatments. Basch et al. (2021) examined YouTube videos about COVID-19 and determined that many of them included unverified or false health claims, leading to confusion and undercutting public health efforts. Misinformation also spills over beyond pandemics and touches many health concerns, such as mental health, chronic diseases, and reproductive health. Yeung et al. (2022) observed that a substantial number of popular TikTok clips on Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) were misrepresentative or inaccurate. The misrepresentation had led to misinformation and self-diagnosis trends, especially among youth. Raj and Goswami (2020) also pointed out the uptick in health-related misinformation in information-scarce times, with social media serving as one of the central platforms for disseminating public health myths. This false information can prompt people to make health choices based on faulty facts, which can have far-reaching implications.

The Impact of Misinformation on Public Health and Strategies for Mitigation

Health misinformation on social media has a significant impact on public health behaviours, particularly in areas like vaccination rates, where false claims about vaccine safety and efficacy contribute to vaccine hesitancy (Wang et al., 2019; Suarez-Lledo & Alvarez-Galvez, 2021). Social media influencers, despite promoting healthy behaviours, can also spread harmful misinformation, affecting health outcomes (Hunter et al., 2023). Misinformation has led to dangerous practices such as the adoption of unproven treatments and self-diagnosis, as seen during the COVID-19 pandemic (Zarocostas, 2020). These behaviours not only delay proper medical intervention but can also result in severe health consequences, further undermining public trust in healthcare systems. As misinformation continues to thrive, public health agencies are grappling with how to maintain accurate health messaging while combating false information. One challenge is that misinformation can spread faster and more widely than corrective messages, especially when the volume of false content exceeds the capacity for fact-checking. Addressing this issue requires multifaceted strategies, including improving media literacy, enhancing social media monitoring, and employing AI technologies for content moderation (Tsao et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2022). By educating the public to critically evaluate health information, these efforts can help reduce the spread of misleading content. Social media platforms and public health agencies are increasingly collaborating on initiatives like the #VaccinateWithMe campaign to combat misinformation, but challenges remain due to the sheer volume of false content (Liu et al., 2022; Asaad et al., 2022). Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration between public health professionals, media experts, and social media platforms is essential to develop and implement effective interventions. Initiatives like fact-checking, AI-powered moderation, and strategic partnerships with credible health organizations have shown promise in combating the detrimental effects of misinformation on social media. However, sustained efforts and continuous monitoring will be necessary to ensure that the public receives accurate and reliable health information in an age dominated by misinformation.

Methodology of the Study

This study adopts a qualitative case study methodology to explore the role of social media in spreading health misinformation and its effects on public health behaviors, particularly during crises like the COVID-19 pandemic. By examining seven purposively selected cases from

2019 to 2025 across platforms such as Facebook, YouTube, WhatsApp, and TikTok, the study investigates misinformation typologies (e.g., vaccine hesitancy, unverified treatments, dietary misconceptions), propagation mechanisms, and public responses. The methodology is grounded in a theoretical framework comprising Framing Theory, Agenda-Setting Theory, and Spiral of Silence Theory, which collectively help us to understand how health misinformation is created, spread and accepted on social media. These theories guide the analysis to uncover the mechanisms driving misinformation's impact, ensuring findings are contextually rich and theoretically informed despite the absence of proprietary platform data.

Case Studies on Social Media's Role in Public Health Misinformation

The Role of Social Media in Spreading COVID-19 Vaccine Misinformation

In **July 2024,** a **"Reuters"** investigation uncovered a covert operation by the United States Department of Defense that aimed to undermine public confidence in Chinese-manufactured COVID-19 vaccines, particularly Sinovac, which was widely distributed in the Philippines during the pandemic. The campaign employed fake social media profiles and bot-driven narratives to disseminate misleading information about the perceived risks and inefficacy of the Sinovac vaccine. This disinformation campaign was part of a broader geopolitical strategy to counter China's influence in Southeast Asia. However, it had severe unintended consequences, particularly in rural areas of the Philippines, where vaccine hesitancy grew, and vaccination rates declined. The operation, once exposed, triggered significant diplomatic backlash. The Philippine Senate launched a formal inquiry into the ethical and legal implications of such foreign interference, emphasizing concerns over sovereignty and public health autonomy. The incident also highlighted the vulnerability of social media platforms to manipulation during global crises and underscored the necessity of stricter regulatory mechanisms to combat the spread of false narratives. It served as a stark reminder of the ethical challenges associated with using disinformation as a strategic tool, particularly during public health emergencies. The case illustrates how misinformation, especially when state-sponsored, can erode public trust, compromise health outcomes, and strain diplomatic relations. This episode underscores the critical need for transparent international norms governing information warfare and stronger safeguards against the misuse of digital platforms in matters of global health.

The Role of Facebook in Spreading COVID-19 Vaccine Misinformation in the United States

In **July 2021**, **"The Guardian"**reported on the pervasive issue of vaccine misinformation on Facebook, highlighting a systemic failure in the platform's content moderation policies. The article emphasized that despite Facebook's public commitments to curb misinformation, anti-vaccine content continued to thrive on the platform, undermining public health efforts during the COVID-19 pandemic.A significant focus of the report was the identification of the "Disinformation Dozen," a group of twelve individuals responsible for producing a substantial portion of anti-vaccine content on social media. The Centre for Countering Digital Hate (CCDH) found that these individuals were the primary sources of 65% of all anti-vaccine misinformation on Facebook and Twitter. Despite this revelation, enforcement actions against these accounts were inconsistent. While some pages and groups associated with these individuals were removed from Instagram, their counterparts on Facebook remained active, allowing misinformation to persist and spread. The article also noted that, in the months following the CCDH study, social platforms took limited action against members of the "Disinformation Dozen," removing 35 accounts across various platforms. However, these individuals still maintained a significant online presence, with 62 active accounts and a combined following of 8.4 million users. This partial enforcement underscored the challenges in effectively combating misinformation on social media platforms.The persistence of vaccine misinformation on Facebook had tangible public health implications. The spread of false narratives contributed to vaccine hesitancy, particularly in communities already skeptical of governmental and medical institutions. Public health officials expressed concern that the platform's failure to adequately address misinformation hindered efforts to achieve widespread vaccination and control the pandemic.This case study illustrates the critical role social media platforms play in shaping public discourse and the dissemination of health information. It underscores the necessity for robust and consistent content moderation policies, especially during global health crises. The Facebook example serves as a cautionary tale of how inadequate responses to misinformation can have far-reaching consequences for public health and trust in scientific institutions.

The Impact of Social Media Influencers on Health Misinformation and Public Health

In **February 2025, "The Guardian"** reported a growing concern regarding social media influencers promoting unproven health diagnostic tests, such as full-body MRI scans and direct-to-consumer genetic testing kits. A study cited in the article revealed that 87% of influencer posts emphasized the potential benefits of these tests, ranging from early disease detection to personalized health insights, while only 6% mentioned any risks, such as overdiagnosis, false positives, or unnecessary anxiety. This overwhelming focus on benefits, often without adequate scientific support or disclosure of commercial

affiliations, raised alarm among health professionals. Experts warned that such tests, when used without medical justification, could lead to emotional distress, unnecessary invasive procedures, and significant financial costs to individuals. Many influencers were also found to neglect important ethical considerations, failing to inform their followers about the lack of medical oversight or the potential for harm. The report highlighted how influencers, often lacking medical credentials, shape public health behaviour and contribute to the erosion of trust in evidence-based medicine. In response, public health advocates have called for stricter regulation, transparent disclosures, and improved digital health literacy to combat the misleading health narratives proliferating on social media platforms. Furthermore, the study emphasized the need for social media platforms to take more responsibility in monitoring and moderating health-related content, particularly when it comes to endorsements for products and services without scientific validation. The growing influence of social media in the health domain calls for a balance between personal autonomy in decision-making and professional oversight to safeguard public well-being.

Surge in Abortion-Related Misinformation Following the Overturn of Roe v. Wade

According to "Politico",August 2022, the aftermath of the U.S. Supreme Court'sdecision to overturn *Roe v. Wade* triggered a dramatic surge in abortion-related misinformation across social media platforms. The report highlights how false claims—particularly the notion that medication abortions could be reversed using high doses of progesterone—spread rapidly despite lacking scientific validation or endorsement from major medical bodies. Politico noted that on June 24, 2022, the very day the *Dobbs* ruling was announced, one Facebook post promoting "abortion pill reversal" spiked in engagement from just 20 interactions to over 3,500. Platforms like YouTube, TikTok, and Facebook struggled to moderate the wave of misleading content, often reacting too slowly or inconsistently. Health experts interviewed by Politico expressed serious concern that such misinformation could confuse those seeking reproductive care, leading to delays in treatment, increased anxiety, and potentially harmful medical decisions. The report underscores the critical challenges social media companies face in managing health misinformation during politically charged moments and the pressing need for stronger safeguards to protect public health.

White House Criticism of Social Media's Role in COVID-19 Misinformation (2021)

According to "**Reuters",** in **July 2021**, the White House publicly criticized social media platforms, particularly Facebook and YouTube, for failing to stop the spread of COVID-19 vaccine misinformation adequately. The administration highlighted that a disproportionately large amount of false and misleading content was being driven by a small group of users—often called the "Disinformation Dozen." These individuals were responsible for nearly two-thirds of the anti-vaccine content circulating online. Despite calls for stricter moderation, many of their posts remained live, raising concerns about the effectiveness of social media policies.Officials argued that the unchecked spread of such misinformation significantly contributed to vaccine hesitancy, especially at a time when widespread immunization was crucial for ending the pandemic. The White House urged these platforms to enhance their monitoring mechanisms and to take more responsibility for the content shared by users, framing the issue not only as a public health challenge but also as a moral imperative.This case underscored the growing tension between government agencies and tech companies over accountability in public health communication. The controversy also fueled broader debates around free speech, censorship, and platform responsibility. Health experts warned that failure to curb misinformation could prolong the pandemic and erode public trust in medical institutions. As a result, it intensified pressure on platforms to develop more transparent and proactive misinformation policies.

Vaccine Hesitancy Due to Misinformation During the COVID-19 Pandemic

According to an article published in "**The Indian Express"** on **August 2, 2021**, misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic significantly contributed to vaccine hesitancy, particularly in rural areas. Experts noted that nearly 30% to 40% of the population was hesitant to take the COVID-19 vaccine due to widespread misinformation circulating on social media platforms like WhatsApp, Facebook, and Instagram. False claims about the vaccine, such as it causes infertility, contains microchips, and alters DNA, led to increased fear and distrust. These rumours were especially damaging in rural regions, where access to accurate health information was limited. Dr. N. K. Arora, a prominent member of the National Technical Advisory Group on Immunization (NTAGI), along with other health experts, emphasized the need for effective communication strategies to combat misinformation. Public health campaigns were launched to clarify misconceptions, and local leaders and influencers were involved in reassuring the public about the safety and efficacy of the vaccine. The article also highlighted the role of government agencies and health professionals in addressing these concerns through grassroots initiatives, community meetings, and media outreach. Despite challenges, such efforts led to an increase in vaccination rates, although misinformation continued to pose significant hurdles in achieving herd immunity. While these efforts helped increase vaccine uptake over time, the case highlighted the critical role that social media misinformation plays in public health crises.

Vaccine Hesitation Leads to Measles Outbreak in Texas (2025)

In **March 2025, "WIRED"** reported that Texas experienced a devastating measles outbreak, resulting in 327 reported cases, including the tragic death of an unvaccinated six-year-old girl. The outbreak was attributed to low vaccination rates, largely driven by misinformation circulating on social media platforms. False claims, particularly those suggesting that the Measles, Mumps, and Rubella (MMR) vaccine was linked to autism and other complications, had led to widespread vaccine hesitancy among parents. These misconceptions were fueled by anti-vaccine activists on Facebook, Instagram, and other platforms, who used social media to spread unverified and misleading health information. Bexar County Commissioner Grant Moody, who called for individuals to vaccinate their children to prevent further outbreaks, was met with backlash, highlighting the significant rift in public opinion caused by the rampant misinformation. The case underscored the critical role that social media plays in shaping public health attitudes and the dangers of vaccine misinformation. It also illustrated the urgent need for public health campaigns to address and counteract misleading narratives to protect communities from preventable outbreaks.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Means of Misinformation Transmission: Geopolitical Campaigns, Influencers, and Social Media Platforms

The means of misinformation transmission are diverse and strategically complex, involving both state-sponsored operations and individual actors who exploit social media platforms. A particularly striking example is the covert operation led by the U.S. Department of Defense in 2024, which aimed to undermine public trust in the Sinovac vaccine in the Philippines. This disinformation campaign used fake social media profiles and bots to spread misleading claims about the vaccine's safety and efficacy, revealing how state actors can weaponize social media to pursue geopolitical goals. This operation highlights the vulnerability of digital platforms to manipulation and the complex intersection between public health and international political dynamics.Another prominent means of misinformation transmission is the role of social media influencers. In the case of influencers promoting unproven health products, such as genetic testing kits and MRI scans, the spread of misleading health information was amplified by influencers with substantial online followings. These influencers often failed to disclose conflicts of interest or the lack of scientific evidence supporting the products they were endorsing. This behavior significantly contributed to public confusion, as followers of these influencers are more likely to trust them over medical professionals. The study of influencers' impact on health narratives underscores the power that individuals with large followings have in shaping public perception, particularly when it comes to health-related decisions.Moreover, the ongoing issue of anti-vaccine misinformation, as seen in the case of the "Disinformation Dozen" in the U.S., illustrates how a small group of individuals can drive the spread of harmful content across platforms like Facebook and Twitter. Despite being flagged as primary sources of misinformation, these individuals continued to operate with minimal intervention from the platforms, underscoring the challenges in controlling harmful content. The failure to regulate these influential actors demonstrates the limitations of existing content moderation policies, further emphasizing how misinformation transmission is facilitated by the very design of social media platforms, which prioritize engagement over accuracy.

Impact of Misinformation on Public Health Behavior: Vaccine Hesitancy, Misleading Health Claims, and Preventable Outbreaks

Misinformation's impact on public health behavior is profound, with significant consequences for individual decision-making and broader health outcomes. One of the most tangible effects of misinformation is vaccine hesitancy, particularly in the context of COVID-19 and other preventable diseases. The spread of false claims about the Sinovac vaccine in the Philippines contributed to a decline in vaccination rates, particularly in rural areas where access to accurate health information is limited. This mirrors similar patterns observed in the United States, where the proliferation of anti-vaccine content led to widespread vaccine hesitancy, hindering efforts to achieve widespread immunization during the COVID-19 pandemic. These cases demonstrate how misinformation can directly influence public health behavior, as people who might otherwise have been willing to vaccinate become skeptical due to misleading narratives.The case of vaccine hesitancy is not confined to COVID-19. In Texas, a measles outbreak in 2025 was linked to a drop in vaccination rates, which were influenced by the spread of misinformation claiming that the MMR (measles, mumps, rubella) vaccine was associated with autism. These false claims, initially propagated by anti-vaccine groups and widely disseminated through social media platforms, contributed to the outbreak, highlighting the real-world consequences of misinformation. The tragic death of a six-year-old girl, who was not vaccinated due to these false narratives, illustrates the direct impact misinformation can have on public health outcomes. This case serves as a stark reminder that misinformation, particularly regarding vaccines, can lead to preventable health crises, further emphasizing the importance of addressing the spread of false health claims.Additionally, the misinformation surrounding medication abortion following the U.S. Supreme Court's decision to overturn Roe v. Wade also had significant repercussions for public health. False claims about the reversal of abortion pills

contributed to confusion and delays in reproductive healthcare, causing individuals to make decisions that could have compromised their health. The rapid spread of such misinformation during a politically charged moment shows how health-related misinformation can also interfere with timely medical care, further highlighting its potential to undermine public trust in health systems and services.

Role of Digital Platforms in Shaping Health Narratives: Algorithms, Content Moderation, and Platform Responsibility

The role of digital platforms in shaping health narratives is crucial, as these platforms have the power to amplify both accurate and misleading health information. A key issue that emerges from these cases is the role of platform algorithms in facilitating the spread of misinformation. Platforms like Facebook and YouTube prioritize content that generates high engagement, often amplifying sensational, controversial, or emotionally charged content. This tendency can lead to the spread of misinformation, as false health claims, including those related to vaccines and reproductive health, tend to generate significant user engagement. These engagement-driven algorithms create a feedback loop where misleading content is more likely to be seen by larger audiences, further entrenching false health beliefs.The failure of platforms to adequately moderate harmful content is another central issue. In the case of the "Disinformation Dozen," despite clear evidence of their role in spreading anti-vaccine content, these individuals maintained a significant presence online. This failure to act on identified sources of misinformation highlights the limitations of current content moderation strategies. Even when harmful content is flagged, inconsistent enforcement and platform policies that prioritize freedom of expression over public health concerns often allow misinformation to persist. The case of the "abortion pill reversal" misinformation further underscores this issue, as platforms struggled to moderate the wave of false content following the Supreme Court's decision. The delayed and inconsistent response of platforms like Facebook, YouTube, and TikTok exacerbated the spread of misleading health narratives during a crucial time for reproductive healthcare.Finally, the case of influencers promoting unproven health tests exemplifies the lack of ethical oversight in influencer marketing. Many of these influencers, who lack medical credentials, continue to promote health products without scientific validation, contributing to the proliferation of misleading health narratives. The platforms themselves often fail to regulate these endorsements adequately, allowing influencers to exploit their large followings for financial gain without regard for the potential harm caused to public health. This situation calls for greater responsibility on the part of platforms to monitor influencer-driven content, ensure transparency, and promote more ethical standards in health-related communications.The failure of digital platforms to adequately address misinformation, coupled with the inherent flaws in their algorithmic design, has profound implications for public health. These platforms have become key players in shaping health narratives, but without more robust and consistent content moderation policies, they will continue to contribute to the spread of harmful misinformation. The cases examined highlight the urgent need for platforms to take more responsibility in curbing the spread of health misinformation and to develop more effective tools for moderating content, especially in times of public health crises.

Findings and Discussion

This study shows that false health information on social media has a strong effect on how people think and act, especially during big health events like the COVID-19 pandemic. By looking at the data, we can see that the way information is shared, highlighted, and talked about online plays a big role in shaping people's opinions and choices. One major finding is that the way a story is told can change how people feel about health topics. For example, the U.S. Department of Defense secretly spread fear about the Sinovac vaccine, making people doubt its safety. In the same way, social media influencers made unproven products like genetic testing kits sound like must-have tools for health, even though there was no strong science behind them. These examples show that the way information is framed — the words and emotions used — can strongly affect how people see health issues and make decisions. Another important finding is about how social media platforms work. They are designed to promote posts that grab a lot of attention, especially emotional or shocking ones. Because of this, wrong information often spreads faster than true information. For instance, a small group called the "Disinformation Dozen" on Facebook was able to spread a huge amount of vaccine misinformation because their posts got boosted by the platform's system. Similarly, false claims about reversing medication abortions spread quickly on YouTube and TikTok, making it hard for correct health information to reach people. This shows that these platforms can push wrong ideas into the spotlight, even when they have rules against misinformation. The way people behave online also helps misinformation grow. In places like rural parts of the Philippines and Texas, where many believed false stories about vaccines, people who had doubts stayed silent because they were afraid of being judged or left out. A similar thing happened with false claims about abortion pill reversals after the Roe v. Wade decision. people often accepted the false information without speaking up. When people don't feel safe to share their real thoughts, misinformation can spread even more easily. This study shows that the way stories are told, how platforms promote certain content, and how people react socially all help misinformation spread.

To fix this, we need stronger rules, better education to help people spot trustworthy health information and smarter ways to manage online content. Framing Theory, Agenda-Setting Theory and Spiral of Silence Theory helped make sense of these issues. Framing Theory showed how stories shape beliefs, Agenda-Setting Theory explained why false information gets more attention and Spiral of Silence Theory revealed why people stay quiet when misinformation spreads.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the analysis of various case studies on health misinformation reveals digital platforms' profound influence in shaping public health behaviours and outcomes. The widespread dissemination of false information regarding COVID-19 vaccines, reproductive health or general medical advice demonstrates the critical role social media plays in spreading misinformation and influencing public attitudes. The study highlights how misinformation, often fueled by unregulated digital spaces, can lead to significant health risks, including vaccine hesitancy, confusion about medical treatments and a general erosion of trust in scientific institutions. These trends are particularly alarming in vulnerable populations, where misinformation can exacerbate existing health disparities. The findings also underscore the slow and inconsistent responses from platforms in addressing misinformation, contributing to the persistence of false health narratives. This research emphasizes the need for more effective strategies to monitor and regulate digital content, improve public health communication, and promote digital literacy, particularly during global health crises. Addressing these challenges is crucial for restoring trust in health information and ensuring that accurate, evidence-based knowledge prevails in public discourse.

Limitations of the Study

- The study focuses on high-profile misinformation cases, which may not fully represent the global misinformation landscape.
- It relies on existing reports, limiting its ability to measure real-time impacts or track behavioural changes over time.
- Misinformation regulations vary across countries, requiring cross-country comparative research.

References

1. Al-Zaman, M. S. (2021). Social media fake news in India. *Asian Journal for Public Opinion Research, 9*(1), 31–50. https://doi.org/10.15206/ajpor.2021.9.1.31
2. Asaad, M. S., Al-Jumaily, A., & Alshammari, T. (2022). Lessons from past epidemics: Integrating social media analysis in epidemic management. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health, 12*(1), 26–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44197-022-00007-x
3. Basch, C. H., Meleo-Erwin, Z., Fera, J., Jaime, C., & Basch, C. E. (2021). A global pandemic in the time of viral misinformation: Analysis of vaccine-related messages on TikTok. *Journal of Community Health, 46*(5), 793–797. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-021-00968-w
4. BMJ. (2023). Combating medical misinformation in India: Strategies for effective policy implementation. *The BMJ*. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.p1234
5. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
6. Chen, X., Zhang, W., & Liu, M. (2022). Interdisciplinary approaches to combating health misinformation on social media. *Social Science & Medicine, 298*, 114870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.114870
7. Chou, W. S., Oh, A., & Klein, W. M. (2020). Addressing health-related misinformation on social media. *JAMA, 323*(9), 833–834. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.0746
8. Chou, W. Y. S., Gaysynsky, A., Vanderpool, R. C., & Viswanath, K. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic and health misinformation: A systematic review of evidence.
9. Health Communication, 35(11), 1367-1379 https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2020.1837469
10. Cinelli, M., Quattrociocchi, W., Galeazzi, A., Valensise, C. M., Brugnoli, E., Schmidt, A. L., ... & Scala, A. (2020). The COVID-19 social media infodemic. *Scientific Reports, 10*(1), 16598. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73510-5
11. Guess, A. M., Nagler, J., & Tucker, J. (2020). A digital media literacy intervention increases discernment between mainstream and false news in the United States and India. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117*(27), 15536–15545. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920498117
12. Hunter, M. E., Johnson, N. L., & Davis, R. L. (2023). The influence of social media influencers on health outcomes: Benefits and risks. *Social Media + Society, 9*(2), 215824402110194. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211019400
13. Laranjo, L., Arguel, A., & Neves, A. L. (2020). Social media and health behavior change: A scoping review of the literature. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22*(5), e17074. https://doi.org/10.2196/17074
14. Liu, C., Lee, J. Y., & Chang, L. (2022). Social media health campaigns: Reaching and engaging the public. *Journal of Health Communication, 27*(3), 219–230. https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2022.2053855
15. Liu, P. L., & Huang, Y. (2022). Digital media literacy and public health: The impact of social media on health behavior. *Social Science & Medicine, 307*, 115142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.115142
16. Pulido, C. M., González, P. M., & López, E. L. (2020). The role of social media in combating vaccine misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Vaccine, 38*(34), 5339–5347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.06.074
17. Pulido, C. M., Villarejo-Carballido, B., Redondo-Sama, G., & Gómez, A. (2020). COVID-19 infodemic: More retweets for science-based information on coronavirus than for false information. *International Sociology, 35*(4), 377–392. https://doi.org/10.1177/0268580920914755
18. Raj, S., & Goswami, P. (2020). COVID-19-related social media fake news in India. *Journal of Science Communication, 19*(5), A07. https://doi.org/10.22323/2.19050207
19. Stellefson, M., Dipnarine, K., & Stopka, C. (2020). The impact of social media on health behavior change. *Health Promotion Practice, 21*(3), 322–328. https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839918807739
20. Suarez-Lledo, V., & Alvarez-Galvez, J. (2021). Prevalence of health misinformation on social media: Systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 23*(1), e17187. https://doi.org/10.2196/17187
21. Tsao, S., Wang, X., & Chen, P. (2023). A conceptual framework for social media listening in public health. *Social Science & Medicine, 292*, 114618. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114618
22. Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science, 359*(6380), 1146–1151. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9559

23. Wang, J., Zhang, J., & Gao, Y. (2021). Social media and health communication: A review of current literature. *American Journal of Public Health, 111*(10), 1807–1814. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2021.306408
24. Wang, Y., McKee, M., Torbica, A., & Stuckler, D. (2021). Systematic review of infectious disease and social media: A new medium for public health education? *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 23*(3), e24579. https://doi.org/10.2196/24579
25. World Health Organization. (2022). *Infodemics: Managing misinformation in public health*. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240064889
26. Yeung, A. W. K., Tosevska, A., Klager, E., Eibensteiner, F., Tsagkaris, C., Parvanov, E. D., ... &Kletecka-Pulker, M. (2022). TikTok and public health: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(21), 13865. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192113865
27. Zarocostas, J. (2020). How to fight an infodemic. *The Lancet, 395*(10225), 676. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30461-X
28. https://rm.coe.int/information-disorder-report-version-august-2018/16808c9c77
29. https://www.reuters.com/world/us-told-philippines-it-made-missteps-secret-anti-vax-propaganda-effort-2024-07-26/
30. https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2021/jul/21/facebook-misinformation-vaccines?CMP=share_btn_url
31. https://www.theguardian.com/society/2025/feb/27/social-media-influencers-are-fearmongering-to-promote-health-tests-with-limited-evidence-study-finds?CMP=share_btn_url
32. https://www.politico.com/news/2022/08/20/abortion-misinformation-social-media-00052645
33. https://www.reuters.com/technology/white-house-sees-youtube-facebook-judge-jury-executioner-vaccine-misinformation-2021-07-23/

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Devi, K., Singh, P. (2025). Truth in the Age of Clickbait: A Review of Social Media Misinformation Through Case Studies. *Journal of Communication and Management*, 4(2), 32-40. DOI: 10.58966/JCM2025424