Journal of Communication and Management

ISSN: 2583-617X (Online)

Journal home page: https://jcoma.com

Research Article

DOI: 10.58966/JCM20254spl02

From Abundance to Overload: Rethinking Information in the Digital Age

Ishika Ladda*

Master's student, Centre for Culture, Media and Governance, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 04 June, 2025

Revised: 20 June, 2025

Accepted: 08 July, 2025

Published: 18 August, 2025

Keywords:

Information Abundance, Information Overload, Postmodernity, Digital Era, Information-Seeking Behavior, Information Commodification, Technostress

ABSTRACT

The digital era, driven by the internet and advanced communication technologies since the late 20th century, has created an era of information abundance, transforming how knowledge is produced, shared, and consumed. This paper examines the interplay of information abundance and overload within the postmodern context, marked by cultural shifts, economic globalization, and technological advancements. It investigates how abundant information reshapes information-seeking behaviors and global market dynamics, addressing gaps in understanding regional internet accessibility and the intentions of information providers. The study critiques the commodification of information within capitalist systems, viewing abundance as a byproduct of globalization. The research pursues two objectives: (1) to analyze the impact of information overload on evolving information-seeking behaviors and (2) to propose strategies for harnessing information abundance to mitigate the psychological stress of overload. By integrating postmodern perspectives, this study offers insights into navigating the complexities of the information age and practical solutions for managing overload.

Introduction

Since the 1970s, scholars have identified the emergence of a new historical era defined by cultural, economic, and technological transformations (Wood, 1997). Termed postmodernism, economic globalization, or the digital era, this period is characterized by advancements in communication technologies and the rise of the internet (Doukidis et al., 2004). Within this context of postmodernity which is marked by cultural fragmentation, global interconnectedness, and rapid technological change—the information age has emerged, fundamentally altering how knowledge is produced, shared, and consumed (Boczkowski, 2021). The internet, developed in the late 20th century, has facilitated an unprecedented explosion of information, termed *information abundance*, enabling near-universal access to diverse content.

Information abundance refers to a macro-level phenomenon where individuals and societies have easy access to vast quantities of information through digital platforms (Bawden & Robinson, 2020). Unlike pre-internet eras, when information was dispersed across physical sources like books and libraries, digital technologies allow instant access to diverse content. However, this abundance has a downside: *information overload*, a psychological state where the volume of information exceeds an individual's processing capacity (Bawden & Robinson, 2020). These dynamics have reshaped information-seeking behaviors and global market structures, raising questions about accessibility, commodification, and user experience. This study investigates the interplay of information abundance and overload, focusing on regional internet disparities and the intentions of information providers within a postmodern, capitalist framework. The following literature review contextualizes these phenomena, highlighting key theories and gaps this study addresses.

***Corresponding Author:** Ishika Ladda

Address: Master's student, Centre for Culture, Media and Governance, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India.

Email ✉**:** ishikaladdawork@gmail.com

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Literature Review

Information abundance leads to attention scarcity, where the sheer volume of available information reduces individuals' capacity to process it effectively (Gosselin, 2023). Following supply-and-demand principles, abundant information diminishes the effort individuals invest in seeking reliable content, increasing vulnerability to misinformation or superficial research (Gosselin, 2023). This scarcity of attention complicates decision-making, particularly in investment or knowledge-intensive contexts, as individuals struggle to prioritize relevant information.

The related concepts of *information explosion* and *information overload* provide further insight (Papacharissi, 2009). An information explosion denotes an extreme increase in information supply—for instance, a single edition of the *Sunday New York Times* contains more information than all written material available in the 15th century (Papacharissi, 2009). Information overload, by contrast, focuses on the psychological impact, occurring when the volume of relevant information hampers efficiency (Papacharissi, 2009). Cognitive load theory explains this phenomenon, suggesting that working memory can process only seven ± two units of information at a time (Papacharissi, 2009). Overload results when this capacity is exceeded, driven by intrinsic (content complexity), extraneous (poor layout), or germane (learning-enhancing) cognitive loads (Arnold et al., 2023).

Media richness theory offers another lens, positing that information and communication technologies (ICTs) aim to reduce ambiguity, with the richness of communication channels influencing overload (Arnold et al., 2023). Additionally, *technostress*—stress induced by ICT use—identifies overload as a key stressor alongside constant availability and technological complexity (Papacharissi, 2009; Arnold et al., 2023). Techno-stressors include techno-overload (increased work pace), techno-invasion (constant availability), techno-complexity (tool complexity), techno-insecurity (job security threats), and techno-uncertainty (frequent upgrades). Techno-inhibitors, such as digital literacy and task delegation, can mitigate these effects (Arnold et al., 2023).

Strategies to manage overload include filtering irrelevant information, prioritizing content, and delegating tasks to colleagues or digital tools (Gosselin, 2023; Arnold et al., 2023). A three-step process is recommended: (1) assess and triage information, (2) determine the depth of engagement, and (3) adapt to new insights (Arnold et al., 2023). However, existing research overlooks regional disparities in internet access and the intentions of information providers, particularly within capitalist systems where information is commodified. This study addresses these gaps by examining how accessibility and provider motives shape information dynamics and proposing strategies to mitigate overload.

Research Problem

Despite extensive research on information abundance and overload, critical gaps persist. Few studies explore how regional disparities in internet access affect information availability, particularly in underserved areas, limiting our understanding of equitable access (Boczkowski, 2021). Similarly, the motives of information providers such as corporations or platforms—in disseminating content remain underexplored, especially within capitalist systems where information is commodified (Arnold et al., 2023). While overload is widely recognized, practical strategies for managing it, such as fostering critical information evaluation, are rarely proposed. This study addresses these gaps by examining how regional access and provider intentions influence information-seeking behaviors and developing solutions to mitigate overload within a postmodern, globalized context.

Objectives

This study aims to:

- To investigate information overload and its impact on the changing information seeking behavior of the audience
- Navigating a pathway to realize the importance of information abundance to avoid the stress of information overload

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative approach to explore the complex dynamics of information abundance and overload. Textual analysis will examine digital content from diverse regions to understand how providers' intentions shape content dissemination. Case studies of regions will provide contextual insights into accessibility's impact on information-seeking behaviors. Thematic analysis will identify patterns in overload experiences and mitigation strategies, ensuring alignment with the study's objectives. This approach is suited to capturing the nuanced, context-specific nature of information dynamics in a postmodern, globalized framework.

Key Findings

Technology, the free market, and democracy have nearly eliminated the constraints that previously limited the publication and distribution of information to only the most important. Computers, cell phones, the Internet, optical cables, and wireless and satellite transmissions are just a few of the technologies that power the information age. The 1980s saw the internet become a public domain. Since then, information availability has undergone a constant revolution and experienced significant growth. All people's lives have been impacted by the information age, either directly or indirectly. Anyone with access to the Internet can take advantage of the unparalleled abundance of worldwide information resources. The

efficiency of information availability has increased with the introduction of the Internet. Information on nearly any topic is more readily and quickly available than ever before.

Infosphere

The Internet has many benefits, one of which is the easy and rapid access to information. With only a mouse click, one can obtain information from a book located in a library somewhere else in the world. Online content is regularly updated and reviewed, in contrast to books found in libraries. As a result, there has been a noticeable rise in the amount of information endowment across several fields. The accessibility and availability of information at this new level are changing both personal and global market dynamics. It is astonishing that information can not only be accessed by everyone but also created and shared by nearly anyone.

Total data consumption in India has increased significantly, from 5,342,792 GB between January and March 2023 to 6,950,508 GB between April and June 2023, according to the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India's (TRAI) most recent performance indicator report (The Indian Telecom Services Performance Indicators, 2023). With the Internet, one's curiosity about global events can be satiated, as information is more readily and quickly available than ever before. As society progresses through Floridi's Fourth Revolution and enters hyper-history, it is increasingly reliant on information and communication technologies, known as the infosphere (Bawden & Robinson, 2020). Floridi contends that we must broaden our ecological and ethical approach to include both natural and man-made realities, putting the 'e' in an environmentalism capable of successfully addressing the new challenges posed by our digital technologies and information society (Floridi, 2014). In today's digital age, individuals and societies rely on—and are shaped by—information in unprecedented ways. As a result, managing information overload is crucial.

As creatively explored as the fear of an abundance of digital information, with all its attendant drawbacks—information pollution, anxiety, paralysis by analysis, continuous partial attention, attention deficit disorder, multi-multitasking, and an increase in con artists and computational propaganda—is the fear of digital abundance. Information is positioned simultaneously as a wonderful remedy for all our problems: with more data, we may at last be able to analyse scientific disputes, political dramas, and consumer purchases in a meaningful way—that is, the very human obsessions that the wealth of data complicates.

Too Much Information

The term "too much information" (TMI), along with "information explosion", "information inundation", "information excess" and "information tsunami", is frequently used to describe overload (Tenopir, 1990; Johnson, 2014; Hartog, 2017; Rudd & Rudd, 1986). It is simple to cite data and examples to back up this claim. To name a few instances:

- In the early 21st century, a weekly edition of *The New York Times* included more information than the average person in seventeenth-century England was likely to encounter in their lifetime (Bawden & Robinson, 2009).
- Compared to the preceding 5,000 years, more information was produced in the final three decades of the 20th century (Bawden & Robinson, 2009).

It is noteworthy that there is a striking parallel between the feelings sparked in early modern times by the development of printing and the feelings of TMI in the twenty-first century, which are generally attributed to email, social media, big data, an explosion in publications, and other manifestations of digital technology.

Information Explosion / Tsunami

The amount of formal and informal information produced worldwide in a crowded "information society" has dramatically increased recently (Jackson & Farzaneh, 2012). There is a profound explosion in information and communication in India, which creates information abundance. Changes in the media have strengthened democracy, information and rights cultures, and rural publics (Jeffrey & Doron, 2013; Ninan, 2007; Rao, 2010; Thomas, 2011). There are inherent contradictions in the coexistence of global connectivity, media plurality, information abundance, and interactivity. Even with the variety of information channels available, screen displays are the primary means of information consumption. It is this phenomenon that Bawden and Robinson (2009) call "homogenised diversity."

The amount of information created every two days at this time is almost equal to the total amount of information created between the dawn of human civilisation and 2003 (Jackson & Farzaneh, 2012). This indicates that the amount of information produced is one of the fastest-growing phenomena on Earth, and information overload is acknowledged as possibly being a major issue for society. The two—information abundance and information overload—are interrelated concepts, as the latter is the consequence of the former.

Information overload focuses on the psychological effect of information abundance on users.

The effect represents "a state of affair where an individual's efficiency in using information in their work is hampered by the amount of relevant, and potentially useful information available to them" (Baltham, Holtham & Courtney, 1999). Information is presented as a fantastic remedy for all our problems; with more data, we may finally be able to analyse scientific disputes, political dramas, and consumer purchases meaningfully—that is, the very human obsessions that the wealth of data complicates.

Inability to Discern or Digest Information

Many authors have written about the history of the idea of information overload; two that have given particularly thorough and academic treatment, not limited to the Western context, are Blair (2003 & 2010) and the authors of a special issue of the *Journal of the History of Ideas* (Rosenberg, 2003). Also see Neill (1992), Bawden and Robinson (2009), and Gleick (2011). Although the phrase—not the concept—is typically understood to have originated at the end of the 19th century, German sociologist and philosopher Georg Simmel was the first to analyse it in a contemporary manner (Klapp, 1986; Savolainen, 2007). However, the concept's origins are much older. Information overload is more precisely defined by Klapp (1986) as an abundance of information that the recipient can no longer process effectively without distraction, stress, increased errors, or other expenses that decrease the information's efficient use. In a similar vein, Eppler and Mengis (2004) contended that information overload happens when the recipient's processing capacity is exceeded by the volume of information.

Early modern Europe's introduction of printing made matters much worse. A kind of information explosion resulted from the enormous acceleration of text production during the 16th and 17th centuries (Rosenberg, 2003). Leibnitz expressed a common complaint about "the horrible mass of books which keeps on growing" in 1680. During this period, skim reading, browsing, cutting and pasting, and annotating—possibly the first systematic approaches to overload—became extensively employed (Blair 2003 & 2010).

The 19th-century communications revolution, marked by the mass adoption of newspapers, magazines, academic journals, textbooks, and other new formats—as well as the multiplicity of material made available by steam-powered presses—marked the beginning of the modern understanding of overload (Edmunds & Morris, 2000). The peak of the documentation movement and the creation of bibliographic control tools, including subject indexing, abstracts, bibliographies, cataloguing rules, and classification schemes for the paper-based world, occurred around the turn of the 20th century (Csiszar, 2013; Wright, 2014).

In the 1960s, information overload was first identified as a possible issue for industry and government, as summarised by Wilensky (1968, p. 331): "Information has always been a source of power, but it is now increasingly a source of confusion. In every sphere of modern life, the chronic condition is a surfeit of information, poorly integrated or lost somewhere in the system."

Alvin Toffler's book *Future Shock* (1970) popularised the phenomenon. He explained that overload results from technological advancements that are changing industrial society and overloading perception, cognition, and decision-making, causing both physiological and physical distress. Eugene Garfield, a leading scientific publisher, wrote in 1984 about "the already well-defined disease information overload".

Citing Haye's work on the exponential expansion of case law, Edmunds and Morris (2000) contended that the phenomenon might have its origins in the early 1800s. The example given was that there were eight hundred volumes of reports by 1845, compared to eighty volumes in 1810. There were almost 3,800 by 1885, illustrating how information is constantly growing. According to Klapp (1986), Simmel was among the first social scientists to observe this phenomenon when he wrote about the overabundance of sensations in the urban environment in 1950. Meier (1963) forecast that crisis overload and communications flow saturation would occur within the next 50 years, echoing Deutsch (1961), who also pointed out that communication overload was a disease specific to cities. The French philosopher Diderot had observed, however, much earlier in 1755, that "the number of books will grow continually, and one can predict that a time will come when it will be almost as difficult to learn anything from books as from the direct study of the whole universe" (Diderot, in Baker, 1987, p. 85).

It seems that the amount and speed of information can become overwhelming in a world where computer-mediated communication systems are becoming more and more prevalent (Hiltz & Turoff, 1985; Kerr & Hiltz, 1982). Information overload has been defined and its effects explored in more research. Meglio and Kleiner, for instance, examined time management techniques, communication strategies, and the notion that individuals can lessen information overload because they are a part of the issue in 1990. According to their research, information users as a whole were responsible for information overload, and if mistakes were acknowledged, deliberate efforts could be made to improve communication.

The wiser and more efficient management of human affairs requires "Big Data" about human (and increasingly nonhuman) practices, improved algorithms for data collection, sorting, and analysis, and artificial intelligence capable of computation, output, and iteration. Information overload is caused by a number of interrelated factors, including the characteristics of the person receiving the information, the characteristics of the information, tasks and processes, organisational processes, and information technology. The resulting consequences of information overload necessitate the implementation of countermeasures, which, in turn, influence the causes of information overload. This process is circular, and all of the components are interdependent.

Conclusion

Concerns about information overload have existed for as long as there has been more information than people can reasonably process. Today, individuals must navigate an

increasingly crowded information space, making daily decisions based on a multitude of sources. Yet, empirical evidence supporting the actual impact of information abundance on daily life remains limited. Moreover, there is no clear consensus on how to define or assess information abundance from a normative standpoint. It is therefore vital to identify what information is genuinely relevant and to communicate it in a clear and adaptable manner.

0Information overload is real—not a myth. While the causes and proposed solutions have evolved with technology, the core nature of overload remains largely unchanged. Ironically, those who suffer from overload are often the very agents contributing to it. Though societies have never been entirely overwhelmed, the issue has also never been fully resolved. The most effective response lies in striking a thoughtful balance between understanding and consumption, and in developing coping strategies that support individual and collective satisficing.

Richard Wurman (1989) introduced the term *information anxiety*, a tension stemming from doubts about one's ability to find, comprehend, or use necessary information. Closely linked conditions—such as techno-stress, computer anxiety, and library anxiety—emphasize the challenges posed by the information itself rather than by the tools used to access it. Overload is not the sole issue, but it plays a significant role. As Kuhlthau (1993) observed, the anxiety may arise less from volume and more from the complexity or unfamiliarity of information. A notable outcome of overload is the habit of sharing information without engaging with it—giving rise to the now-common acronym *TLDR* ("too long, didn't read").

What sets the 21st century apart is the recognition of how overload affects political engagement and social cohesion. With increasing difficulty in identifying reliable news amidst a flood of dubious sources, public discourse suffers. Today, as societies are more dependent on information than ever—shaped by the infosphere and Floridi's hyper-history—addressing information overload has become urgent and necessary.

References

1. J. Boczkowski, P. (2021). *Abundance: On the Experience of living in a world of information plenty.* New York: Oxford University Press.
2. Chyi, H. C. (2009). Information Surplus in Digital Age- Impacts and Implication. In Z. Papacharissi (Ed.), *Journalism and Citizenship: New agendas in communication (91- 105).* New York and London: Routledge.
3. Gosselin, O. (2023, October 31). *Information abundance and attention scarcity - Realty leadership*. Realty Leadership. https://realtyleadership.com/information-abundance-attention-scarcity/
4. Arnold M., Goldschmitt M., & Rigotti T. (2023). Dealing with information overload: a comprehensive review. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 21;14:1122200. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1122200. PMID: 37416535; PMCID: PMC10322198.
5. Ellen, M W. (1997). Modernity, postmodernity or capitalism?. *Review of International Political Economy,* 4(3), 539-560, DOI: 10.1080/096922997347742
6. Bawden, D. & Robinson, L. (2020). Information Overload: An Overview. *Oxford Encyclopedia of Political Decision Making*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Doi: 10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.013.1360
7. Akanksha. (2016, October 21). *From Information Scarcity to Information Abundance | Marvist blog*. Marvist Blog | Digital Marketing Articles for SMBs. http://www.marvist.com/blog/from-information-scarcity-to-information-abundance/
8. Jackson, T. W., & Farzaneh P. (2012). Theory-based model of factors affecting information overload. *International Journal of Information Management,* 32(6), 523-532
9. Wurman R. S. (1989). *Information anxiety* (First). Doubleday, New York.
10. Shenk, D. (2017). Data Smog: Surviving the Information Glut. Abacus, New York
11. Edmunds, A. & Morris, A. (2000). The problem of overload in the business organisations: a review of the literature. *International Journal of Information Management, 20 (1)* 17-28.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Ladda, I. (2025). From Abundance to Overload: Rethinking Information in the Digital Age. *Journal of Communication and Management*, 4(spl), 9-13. DOI: 10.58966/JCM20254spl02